

West Midlands Interchange

Action List – ISH5: Achieving SRFI Objectives (10 July 2019)

| Agenda Item | Action | Deadline | Action By |
|--|---|---|--|
| <p>2 – Applicant’s Need Case</p> <p>(level of agreement as to the current need for rail-served logistics)</p> | <p>CWC to provide letters/responses from neighbouring authorities in relation to working together to meet unmet need for employment land in the Black Country</p> <p>In respect of Applicant’s response to ExQ1.2.5 Applicant to check plan periods are the same and whether or not the figures assumed straight trajectory of delivery</p> | Deadline 6 – 19 July | <p>City of Wolverhampton Council</p> <p>Applicant</p> |
| <p>4 – Relationship between scale of warehousing and the viability/growth of rail services</p> | <p>Applicant to provide information on the distance at which rail freight services become viable economically</p> | Deadline 6 – 19 July | Applicant |
| <p>5 – Rail connectivity</p> <p>(phasing of rail infrastructure and measures to secure this provision)</p> | <p>Applicant to provide details of the phasing of the rail infrastructure assumed in its appraisal and confirm the IRR impact if the rail is delivered before the occupation of any B8 space</p> | Deadline 6 – 19 July | Applicant |
| <p>6 – The need for encroachment on land to the south of Vicarage Road including implications for viability and deliverability of the WMI scheme</p> | <p>Applicant to explain why IRR is a more appropriate metric than profit on cost.</p> <p>In the event of no completed agreement with Inglewood by the end of July, Applicant and Inglewood to provide a joint note confirming what is and is not agreed in respect of the Inglewood viability calculations and why. Similar to a Scott Schedule.</p> <p>Applicant to provide an explanation of the Dashboard assumptions (Appendix 1 to the Applicant’s Responses to Other Parties’ Deadline 4 Submissions (Document 15.2).</p> | <p>Deadline 6 – 19 July</p> <p>No later than Deadline 7 – 7 August</p> <p>Deadline 7 – 7 August</p> | <p>Applicant</p> <p>Applicant and Inglewood</p> <p>Applicant</p> |

| Agenda Item | Action | Deadline | Action By |
|---|--|----------------------|--------------------|
| 7 – Potential future Green Belt boundary | Local authorities to confirm their position on the appropriateness of the landscape bunds forming future the Green Belt boundary and the timing of any change. | Deadline 6 – 19 July | Local Authorities |
| 8 – Green Belt tests – NPS and NPPF | Applicant to address Green Belt uses referred to in paragraph 141 of the NPPF | Deadline 6 – 19 July | Applicant |
| 9 – Review of issues and actions required | Parties to respond to Applicant’s Technical Note 41 | Deadline 6 – 19 July | Interested Parties |